

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
CHARLESTON DIVISION

George Holmes,	)	Civil Action No.: 2:12-1902-TMC-BHH
	)	
	)	
	)	<b><u>REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u></b>
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE</u></b>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
Lt. Johnson,	)	
	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

The Plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42, United States Code, Section 1983. On December 14, 2012, Defendant filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. (Dkt. No. 31.) By order of this court filed December 14, 2012, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the Plaintiff was advised of the summary judgment procedure and the possible consequences if he failed to respond adequately. (Dkt. No. 34.) Despite this explanation, the Plaintiff did not respond to the motion.

As the Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court filed an order on January 17, 2013, giving the Plaintiff through February 6, 2013, to file his response to the Motion for Summary Judgment. (Dkt. No. 42.) The Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond, this action would be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. The Plaintiff did not respond.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Additionally, Plaintiff failed to follow the undersigned's order dated July 20, 2012. (Dkt. No. 7.) That order states as follows:

You are ordered to always keep the Clerk of Court advised **in writing (Post Office Box 835, Charleston, South Carolina 29402)** if your address changes for any reason, so as to assure that orders or other matters that specify deadlines for you to meet will be received by you. If as a result of your failure to comply with this Order, you fail to meet a deadline set by this Court, **your case may be dismissed for violating this Order**. Therefore, if you have a change of address before this case is ended, you must comply with this Order by immediately advising the Clerk of Court in writing of such change of address and providing the Court with the docket number of all pending cases you have filed with this Court. Your failure to do so will not be excused by the Court.

(Dkt. No. 7 at 2 of 4.) Plaintiff failed to keep the Clerk of Court advised of his current address. (Dkt. No. 41; Dkt. No. 44.)

Based on the foregoing, it appears the Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action. Accordingly, it is recommended that this action be dismissed *with prejudice* for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with this Court's orders, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the factors outlined in *Chandler Leasing Corp. v. Lopez*, 669 F.2d 919, 920 (4th Cir.1982). See *Ballard v. Carlson*, 882 F.2d 93 (4th Cir. 1989).

s/Bruce Howe Hendricks  
United States Magistrate Judge

February 6, 2013  
Charleston, South Carolina

**Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation**

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Larry W. Propes, Clerk  
United States District Court  
Post Office Box 835  
Charleston, South Carolina 29402

**Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation.** 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).